

Deliberate Viral Infection: Irish Schoolchildren are Now a Target

by Jeremy James



Reckless policies can have tragic results. When that happens, such outcomes are usually described as unintended consequences. Communist policies, however, are deliberately designed to have tragic results, which are later excused or disguised as unintended consequences.

The Irish government has been following the Marxist agenda for over twenty years. The parties once known as 'Fianna Fáil' and 'Fianna Gael' have long disappeared, though they keep the same brass plate on the door. The people inside are Marxists, recruited years ago for the roles they are now performing. And they *are* performers, deceitful actors who continually talk of equality but devise oppressive means of social control; who continually talk about health and well-being but close down the health system; who continually talk about human dignity but promote sodomy, child sexualization, and the murder of unborn children; who continually talk about wealth and prosperity but shut down the economy.

These are just some of the methods that the Marxists are using to destabilize our society and weaken the institutions that hold it together.

Does any of this sound familiar?



Marxist rags like *The Irish Times* will never discuss the dreadful harm that is being done to our country by the insane Covid ‘lockdown’ and the various social restrictions imposed by the government. Like RTE and other media in Ireland, they trumpet the official line at every turn and mock or denigrate anyone who dares to raise valid questions about the policies being pursued by our Communist government, policies which ape at every step the measures being imposed worldwide by the international Marxist conspiracy.



PART I

To see where all of this is leading, just look at a law recently passed by the legislature of South Australia:

25A—Removal of children

- (1) Without derogating from section 25, an authorised officer may, for the purpose of ensuring compliance with any direction under that section, remove a child from any premises, place, vehicle or vessel to a place of residence of the child or to a hospital or quarantine facility, as the authorised officer thinks fit (and may, in doing so, use such force as is reasonably necessary).
- (2) In this section—
child means a person under 18 years of age;

You may need to read this two or three times since it is difficult to believe that a modern democracy would pass a law like this. It means what it says: If the police suspect that a child (of any age) may be Covid-positive [whatever that means] they can enter the child’s home and take him or her away to a state-run quarantine center. If the child’s parents resist the state-sponsored abduction of their child, the police will use as much force as may be necessary to subdue them.

Please let this sink in because it is Communism with a vengeance, and it is coming to very soon to most Western countries.

There are a great many Irish emigrants in Australia, some of whom will lose custody of their children in this way. That's how "close and personal" this is.

The Irish freedom activist, Gemma O'Doherty, recently posted on her website a video of a child being abducted in this way. He is led against his will – screaming – to a trolley where he is strapped down and zipped inside a plastic capsule. Presumably his parents were in the family home being "subdued". [We cannot confirm the source of the video or the country concerned.]



A great many Irish parents still have confidence in the integrity of their government. They are being extremely foolish in our opinion. The events of the past eight months, not to mention the last 20 years, should have convinced them that their so-called leaders are following an agenda which has little or nothing to do with the welfare of the Irish people.

Since the government is about to implement a program which will affect nearly every household in Ireland, a program which we consider to be both reckless and medically unjustified, we will address our plea – in Part II – to the majority of the population who, despite all the evidence to the contrary, still have confidence in the integrity of the Irish political system.

PART II

Proposed flu vaccine program for children

According to the Health Service Executive (HSE), which regulates the health sector in Ireland, a nasal vaccine will be made available free of charge to all children in the age bracket 2-12 years from September [a]. The Minister for Health confirmed this, as did the Deputy Prime Minister:

[a] <https://www.hse.ie/eng/health/immunisation/pubinfo/flu-vaccination/flu-vaccine-for-children/>

“For the first time in a long time, perhaps for the first time ever in Ireland, we will be extending the vaccine to children below the age of 12, as well as more at-risk groups... In addition to those 1.35 million doses, 600,000 doses of the nasal drop vaccine for children have been ordered. That would provide for a 75% uptake among children... We are planning for an uptake of 75% among children. Typically, in countries that provide the flu vaccine for kids, the rate of uptake is in the region of 50%. The rate in the UK is around that mark and in Finland it is 20%.” - Announcement in the Dáil by L Varadkar, Deputy Prime Minister, 9 July 2020.

The procedure comprises a single spray in each nostril. A child who has not previously received a flu vaccine will be given two doses four weeks apart. The product, Fluenz Tetra, is marketed by AstraZeneca and contains four strains of live attenuated virus, two of flu-type A and two of flu-type B, as follows:

A/Brisbane/02/2018 (H1N1)pdm09 - like strain
(A/Switzerland/3330/2017, MEDI 307134)

A/Kansas/14/2017 (H3N2) - like strain
(A/Kansas/14/2017, MEDI 308763)

B/Colorado/06/2017 - like strain
(B/Colorado/06/2017, MEDI 293454)

B/Phuket/3073/2013 - like strain
(B/Phuket/3073/2013, MEDI 254977)



According to the package insert (provided by the HSE on its website), “Fluenz Tetra will help to protect against the four virus strains contained in the vaccine, and other strains closely related to them.”

According to the HSE, “The flu vaccine for children has been given to children in the US since 2003 and in the UK since 2013.” However, this comment relates to flu vaccines in general and is not specific to Fluenz Tetra.

Regarding vaccine safety the HSE states: “All vaccines are thoroughly tested to make sure they will not harm you or your child. The flu vaccine for children has a good safety record. Millions of children in the US and the UK have been vaccinated safely and successfully.”

Nine objective, verifiable reasons not to take the vaccine

While the information published by the HSE is no doubt provided in good faith and is supported by respected clinical testimony, we offer below 9 solid reasons why we believe parents should NOT allow their child to receive this product.

REASON #1. Low effectiveness

Most of the studies which purport to show that flu vaccines are effective are funded by the pharmaceutical companies or persons with links to the industry. Independent pediatricians are rarely consulted. The *British Medical Journal* sometimes carries comments from experienced pediatricians who are adamant that the companies greatly exaggerate their effectiveness. Here is one from a retired pediatrician dated June 7, 2019:

In spite of all of the observational studies reporting "vaccine effectiveness" we still do not know if annual flu shots have done more overall good than harm – for the general public, for high risk groups, or for health-care workers. Some studies have shown that flu shots and the nasal vaccines have sometimes **increased** the risk of influenza and other viral respiratory infections. We need the long-term, multicenter, randomized trials suggested by Kenneth McIntosh in a 2000 *NEJM [New England Journal of Medicine]* editorial, but never carried out. It is possible that annual flu shots have reduced our collective immunity and increased our risks for when the "Big One" next appears. – Dr Allan Cunningham

It is important to note that the CDC, which regulates the vaccine industry in the US, stopped recommending the nasal flu vaccine because it had proven to be utterly ineffective in the period 2013-2016. However, it reversed its decision in February 2018.



Public health policy in Ireland is decided by the CDC in the United States, while CDC policies, as well as those of the World Health Organization, are decided by the pharmaceutical companies.

REASON #2. Side effects

Many children who receive the nasal vaccine often experience flu-like side effects, including a fever, runny nose, cough, sore throat, muscle ache, headache, and fatigue. There is also a significantly increased risk of contracting a respiratory infection that is not flu-related. As one study stated: “We identified a statistically significant increased risk of noninfluenza respiratory virus infection among TIV recipients, including significant increases in the risk of rhinovirus and coxsackie/echovirus infection...” [emphasis added] [TIV means trivalent inactivated flu vaccine] - *Increased Risk of Noninfluenza Respiratory Virus Infections Associated With Receipt of Inactivated Influenza Vaccine*, B J Cowling etc, *Clinical Infectious Diseases* 2012:54, 15 June.



Large popular protest against government lies and lockdown restrictions on Custom House Quay, Dublin, on 22 August.

The authors went on to say: “The phenomenon of virus interference has been well known in virology for >60 years. Ecological studies have reported phenomena potentially explained by viral interference.”

Objective studies which attempt to answer many questions about the effectiveness of flu vaccines and, in particular, their possible adverse effects, are not being conducted. As Professor Peter Collignon (Australian National University) and others stated, “There is a dearth of placebo-controlled studies in children where efficacy vs harms with these vaccines can be examined.” - *Clinical Infectious Diseases*, Volume 60:3, 2015

The pharmaceutical companies should conduct such studies, which must be placebo-controlled and randomized to be meaningful, but generally fail to do so. As Collignon and his colleagues stated: “If, overall, the increased number of cases of ARI [acute respiratory infection] plus vaccine side effects are much higher than those on placebo, given the low efficacy of the vaccine, then this is a strong argument against current policies advocating routine influenza vaccination of children.”

REASON #3. Known toxicity of vaccines

As we have shown in previous papers, in particular *Connecting Adverse Health Events to Childhood Vaccines* (#175) and *Vaccines are the Perfect Vector for Mass Infection* (#205), the ingredients in vaccines have been shown over and over to possess a level of toxicity which is harmful to human health. The reason this is not better understood is that the pharmaceutical companies have a stranglehold over the regulatory process and are thus able to downplay – and even disregard – the toxicity of their products. They are also able to fund studies which “prove” their products are “safe” by reference to criteria and standards which they alone decide. These companies also avoid conducting any robust or convincing studies that use a rigorous scientific methodology, notably randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled trials in a large population. Neither will they allow independent researchers to access to their data, in particular data that would reveal the full extent of the reported adverse effects.

For a more detailed look at the ingredients used in vaccines, see our earlier papers #175 and #205.



**Dr Marcus De Brun addresses a crowd of 7,000
at Customer House Quay on 22 August.**

REASON #4. Fluenz Tetra is genetically modified.

While we offer many reasons to show that the nasal flu vaccine should be rejected by parents, this one reason should suffice. As far as we know, no member of the government, and no representative of the Department of Health, the Health Service Executive, or the Irish medical establishment has alerted the public to the fact that Fluenz Tetra is a GMO:

6. Contents of the pack and other information

What Fluenz Tetra contains

The active substances are:

Reassortant influenza virus* (live attenuated) of the following four strains**.

A/Brisbane/02/2018 (H1N1)pdm09 - like strain
(A/Switzerland/3330/2017, MEDI 307134)

$10^{7.0 \pm 0.5}$ FFU***

A/Kansas/14/2017 (H3N2) - like strain
(A/Kansas/14/2017, MEDI 308763)

$10^{7.0 \pm 0.5}$ FFU***

B/Colorado/06/2017 - like strain
(B/Colorado/06/2017, MEDI 293454)

$10^{7.0 \pm 0.5}$ FFU***

B/Phuket/3073/2013 - like strain
(B/Phuket/3073/2013, MEDI 254977)

$10^{7.0 \pm 0.5}$ FFU***

.....per 0.2 ml dose

* propagated in fertilised hens' eggs from healthy chicken flocks.

** produced in VERO cells by reverse genetic technology. This product contains genetically modified organisms (GMOs).

*** fluorescent focus units.

From the package insert for Fluenz Tetra released by the HSE.

Many people do not appreciate the complex range of health issues that arise from the use of genetically modified organisms (GMOs) in foodstuffs and medical products. The industries which use GMOs continue to claim they are safe, but are unable to prove that this is the case. They take cynical advantage of the fact that private individuals are unable to finance the expensive clinical trials that would be needed to “prove” – in strictly scientific terms – that a GMO was unsafe. Even if such a trial were conducted, the industry would then fund several other studies which purported to prove otherwise. Furthermore, the industry never allows itself to be hampered by the ‘Precautionary Principle’, an internationally recognized rule which forbids the use or marketing of products which entail potential risks which, in severity and scope, far outweigh any possible benefit. The Precautionary Principle is designed to prevent manufacturers from using the public as a testing ground for their products. Unfortunately, when a vaccine is produced using a GMO, that is exactly what is happening.

A genetic modification makes potentially far-reaching changes to the structure and behavior of an organism. It is literally impossible to conduct a clinical trial that will test and evaluate all of these effects. The industry gets around this by placing the burden of proof on the public. The pharmaceutical companies have decided, with the collusion of governments and regulatory agencies, that it is “safe” to use these products until someone, somewhere conducts a scientific study which proves otherwise. It is difficult enough to do this with naturally occurring organisms, but with a GMO the cost burden, along with other factors, present an impossible obstacle.

Any parent who allows their son or daughter to receive Fluenz Tetra is permitting the state to infect his or her immune system with a genetically modified organism the safety of which has not, and cannot be, fully tested.

What is the level of risk involved? Who can say? That’s why this is such a serious matter. There is no way to undo the effects of the vaccine after it has been administered. As we have seen, the benefits, if any, are negligible. So why would any parent run the risk? Why would they commit irreversibly the welfare of their child to an industry which seeks only to maximize its profits, an industry whose reputation is deeply tarnished, to say the least, and which has been shown time and again to cause harm – both injuries and fatalities – with its products?

R.E.G.R.E.T. Support Group was set up by parents of Irish teenage girls who have developed serious health problems after entering secondary school. These parents are certain that the HPV vaccine (Gardasil) is the cause of their daughters' otherwise unexplained illness.

The primary goal of the parents in the group is to get help for their daughters who, like many girls around the world, have experienced "Reactions and Effects of Gardasil Resulting in Extreme Trauma" (R.E.G.R.E.T). Many are not receiving effective medical treatment and cannot attend school regularly due to the debilitating health conditions they still suffer from.

Screen shot from the *regret.ie* website. By 2017 about 230,000 Irish schoolgirls had been given the Gardasil HPV vaccine since it was first introduced in schools in 2010. According to the Irish health authorities 648 of them had a serious adverse reaction. The number injured by this dubious product continues to grow.

The program was made 'gender-neutral' in 2019, so boys will now be receiving a product to defend against cervical cancer. (The level of insanity behind all of this is jawdropping.)

REASON #5. The pathogens in the vaccine are live


The nasal spray flu vaccine is sometimes called an LAIV – live attenuated influenza vaccine. Here is what Wikipedia says in its article on this subject:

“Even though the virus in LAIV is attenuated (low in virulence), it is still a living virus, and may cause an infection with complications in people with weakened immune systems or other underlying medical conditions.”

How many parents know whether their child may have, at any given time, a weakened immune system? If their child has an underlying medical condition which has not yet been diagnosed, they obviously run a much greater risk by giving their child an LAIV.

Most of these products are tested on healthy children, with no underlying health conditions or no detectable infections. No parent is able to say with certainty whether or not their child is brewing an infection. Many bacterial and viral infections take 3-5 days to incubate and show no observable signs during that period.

Live attenuated vaccines can pose a threat to others, both children and adults, who come in contact with the child after he or she has received the nasal spray treatment. Live viruses can be dispersed by the person who receives the vaccine, a phenomenon known as “shedding.” This is why the industry recommends that a child not receive the vaccine if another person in the child’s home has a severely weakened immune system.

A screenshot of a YouTube video player. The video shows a split-screen interview. On the left, a woman with blonde hair wearing a pink shirt is speaking. On the right, a man with short brown hair wearing a light blue shirt is listening. The video title is "SHOCKING COVID LOCKDOWNS IN IRELAND - with DJ Spiral on Covid protests in Dublin, Ireland". The channel name is "Ila Leela Varma". The video has 3,293 views and was uploaded on Aug 25, 2020. The video player interface includes a progress bar at 27:38 / 58:23, a volume icon, and a share button.

Irish freedom activist, DJ Spiral, who was interviewed by Canadian Laura-Lynn Thompson on 25 August, explains the serious risk that Irish parents are taking if they allow their kids to receive the government’s nasal flu vaccine, Fluenz Tetra.

If, as the government has stated, 75% of children aged 2-12 receive the vaccine then, by our estimation, over a million adults will risk being exposed to four different strains of live attenuated flu virus in the coming months, SOLELY on foot of the government's program.

Please think about this. The implications are very disturbing.

REASON #6. Pharmaceutical companies accept no liability

If the pharmaceutical companies were marketing a safe and effective product, they would accept liability for any damage it might cause. However, for decades they have avoided all liability for the injuries caused by their vaccines. Instead, in the US, the government has established a vaccine injuries compensation board to hear cases and make compensatory awards. Similar arrangements operate in many other countries.

The industry in Ireland accepts no liability and pays nothing to claimants. It can manufacture and market whatever products it wants, secure in the knowledge that, if they cause harm to recipients, the company involved cannot be held accountable.

This is truly astonishing. No other industry enjoys this kind of legal protection! It shows how corrupt the industry is that it can extract concessions of this magnitude from the government.

This reason is as powerful as #4 above. By itself it is proof positive that the industry is fully aware of the harm caused by its vaccines and that it cannot be trusted to act with integrity.

REASON #7. Adverse health incidents are being suppressed

Awards made under the various vaccine injuries compensation schemes are usually subject to a gagging clause. Details of the harm done to a child are not made generally known. Neither can families discuss the suffering they had to endure as they watched the health of their son or daughter deteriorate. Cases involving paralysis and brain damage are especially harrowing. If the general public came to know of these cases, they would be horrified, and the industry knows this. So, as the wheel of vaccine roulette continues to turn – reaping huge profits for the industry – a cynical system of censorship prevails.



The new CEO of Pfizer, A Bourla [left], has an annual pay package of nearly \$18m. Note the Masonic hand sign.

The CEOs of the other big pharmaceutical companies receive similar levels of remuneration.



In addition to this, the system for collecting data on vaccine injuries is known to be defective. General practitioners may not connect a newly diagnosed health condition with a vaccine administered, say, 12 months previously. Since all such cases will go unrecorded and unreported, a complete picture of the harm caused by vaccines is not being assembled. Also, it is known that, even in cases where a pediatrician may realize that a vaccine was a cause or a contributory factor, he or she is unlikely to report it if the adverse effect appeared to be temporary.

REASON #8. Fluenz Tetra has already caused vaccine injuries

The Tribunal which ruled in a case brought under the UK's Vaccine Damage Payments Act 1979 made the following judgment:

Did the vaccination with Fluenz Tetra cause [REDACTED]'s narcolepsy?

24. In our expert medical opinion, we are satisfied on a balance of probabilities that it did. We accept that there are no epidemiological studies which support a link between the Fluenz Tetra vaccination and the commencement of narcolepsy, but we agree with Mr Todd, that the absence of any large-scale study is neutral, it neither supports a causative link nor does it disprove a causative link. What we know is that [REDACTED] was vaccinated with Fluenz Tetra on the 24 Nov. 2014 and that subsequently she started to suffer from narcolepsy of a type which would require a trigger and that something must have triggered the onset, accordingly and whilst an epidemiological study may well have helped, its absence does not decide the appeal.


Above: Extract from the redacted judgment dated 6 March 2020

The individual in question received the vaccine on 24 November 2014 when she was aged 11. The following March she began to experience episodes of narcolepsy. These have continued every since, causing her much physical and mental distress.

The application had been turned down several times before it finally came before the Tribunal. Over a period of 4 years a number of different medical assessors were unable to find a causal connection between the vaccine and the girl's narcolepsy. However, on the basis of the reasoning set out in the above extract, the Tribunal was satisfied that "on a balance of probabilities" the vaccine did cause the narcolepsy.

Her narcolepsy was caused by damage to neurons in her hypothalamus when material from the vaccine crossed the blood-brain barrier, triggering an automimmune dysfunction. She was fortunate, in a sense, that in her case the elapsed time between receipt of the vaccine and the onset of her narcolepsy was less than 6 months. It could just as easily have been a longer period, making it harder to connect the vaccine with the adverse reaction. Many pediatricians believe that the 'delay' or time lag between these two events has prevented a great many cases of vaccine injury from being properly diagnosed.

In a case heard by the High Court in Dublin in 2019, which lasted 21 days, the claimant (Aoife B) alleged that, within weeks of receiving the Pandemrix swine flu vaccine in 2009 at age 16, she began to experience bouts of extreme tiredness. She was later diagnosed with narcolepsy. After incurring a €4 million bill for legal and other costs, the state eventually settled for an undisclosed sum without any admission of liability.

	<p>The HPV Vaccine on Trial: Seeking Justice for a Generation Betrayed</p> <p>by Mary Holland, Kim Mack Rosenberg and Eileen Iorio. Published in 2018.</p> <p>Richard Moskowitz MD, author of <i>Vaccines: A Reappraisal</i>, stated "Like no other, this book provides thorough and sound scholarship on all that is known about the HPV vaccines, including the junk science devised by the industry and its shameless promotion by the govern- ment agencies that were created to regulate it."</p>
---	---

There are at least a hundred similar cases in Ireland of injuries caused by the same product, which was marketed by GlaxoSmithKline. The case of Aoife B was the first to reach a settlement – after ten years of aggressive opposition by the state. This is the ordeal that victims and their parents have to endure under the Irish political system. The Department that lures Irish parents into giving these unsafe products to their children, risking lifelong harm in the process, is the same Department that then spends millions of euros trying to prevent the truth from coming out.

The vaccine policy pursued by the Irish government is the one drawn up by the pharmaceutical companies who profit from it. It has little or nothing to do with the health of Irish children – who have never needed these insidious products in the past and who have secured no obvious net benefit from their introduction.

The pharmaceutical companies are mocking the people of Ireland. They can market whatever dubious products they like without having to accept any liability for their effects. On the rare occasions when they are caught out, they settle without any admission of liability. And the cost of the entire affair – legal fees and injury compensation – is covered by the Irish taxpayer. The industry pays nothing. All of this is possible only because corrupt Irish politicians facilitate the scam at every step.



Irish freedom activist, Gemma O'Doherty, was arrested on 28 August under the Criminal Justice and Public Order Act 1994 for displaying banners on a flyover footbridge above a public road which read, 'Masks Spread Germs' and 'No Forced Vaccines.' She was later released on bail and is due to appear before Bray District Court on September 21.

She was treated brutally by three police officers from Bray Garda Station, manhandled, handcuffed, verbally abused and locked in a cell. She was clearly being sent a "message" by the corrupt Marxist regime that controls this country. Unless the people of Ireland wake up and act now, they will soon see firsthand how nasty these people really are.

REASON #9. Fluenz Tetra side effects mimic the symptoms of Covid-19

According to the package insert for Fluenz Tetra, which may be found on the HSE website, the vaccine dose can be expected to cause the following side effects in 1 out of 10 cases: runny nose, reduced appetite, weakness, fever, muscle aches, headache. Any child who presents with these symptoms could be asked by a school nurse or medical professional to take a Covid-19 test. Since the Covid test is both unreliable and unscientific the child could test positive for a virus which he or she does not have. This could result in the child being placed in quarantine at home or – worse – in a state run facility.

The following video gives a passionate warning of the terrible threat posed by the vaccines that are now coming on the market. It is well worth watching (while it remains online): https://153news.net/watch_video.php?v=R6K6B88YB9XK

Four basic questions for every parent

The government has your child in its sights. Before any parent allows them to administer this product, they should ask themselves the following four questions:

- (a) What benefit can I expect my child to receive from this product?
- (b) What risks arise from taking a genetically modified vaccine containing four live attenuated viruses?
- (c) Can I afford to ignore the many known cases of serious injury caused by childhood vaccines?
- (d) Can I trust the information supplied by an industry which will not accept any legal liability for its products?

If the benefits expected at (a) above do not vastly exceed the risks arising from (b), (c) and (d), then it would be **morally wrong and grossly unjust** for any parent to require their son or daughter to receive this product.

CONCLUSION

We would encourage Irish readers to make this paper available to as many people as they can. They don't need to believe in "conspiracies" or anything of that nature in order to appreciate the arguments presented in Part II.

"For man also knoweth not his time: as the fishes that are taken in an evil net, and as the birds that are caught in the snare; so are the sons of men snared in an evil time, when it falleth suddenly upon them."

– Ecclesiastes 9:12

Jeremy James
Ireland
September 3, 2020

- SPECIAL REQUEST -

Regular readers are encouraged to download the papers on this website for safekeeping and future reference. They may not always be available. Papers for each year from 2009 to 2019 may also be downloaded in a single file, or possibly two, from www.archive.org (Use search term 'Jeremy James').

We are rapidly moving into an era where material of this kind may be obtained only via email. Readers who wish to be included on a future mailing list are welcome to contact me at the following email address:- jeremypauljames@gmail.com.

For further information visit www.zephaniah.eu

Copyright Jeremy James 2020